

FISCAL NOTE

| | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|

NON-ADMINISTRATIVE IMPACT

Anticipated Revenue increase:
GAME & FISH FUND

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| | | \$425,675 | \$425,675 |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|

Source of revenue increase:

Revenue increase would be generated from the participation of residents and nonresidents in the combination big game license draw.

Assumptions:

1. 25% of nonresidents, who participated in two or three of the 2015 big game as follows would choose to participate in the combination draw for the same combination of species as applied for in 2015.

elk, deer and antelope - 345
elk and deer draw - 576
elk and antelope draw - 314
deer and antelope draw - 1,256

2. 33% of residents, who participated in two or three of the 2015 big game as follows would choose to participate in the combination draw for the same combination of species as applied for in 2015.

elk, deer and antelope - 3,917
elk and deer - 1,378
elk and antelope - 2,532
deer and antelope - 945

3. This revenue increase does not take into consideration applicants that submitted full price and reduced price applications for the same species as these individuals were likely already accounted for in assumptions 1 and 2.

4. This combined license drawing would be conducted prior to regular drawings for both residents and nonresidents.

5. The applicant would be required to submit an application for a minimum of two different species or one full price and one reduced price license for the same species. The applicant would have to be successful in obtaining all licenses as requested on their application in the drawing to be considered a successful applicant in the combined drawing. If an applicant was unsuccessful in the combined drawing, their application would then roll over to participate in the regular drawing.

6. Customers would not be able to modify licenses after they are submitted with respect to the combined status. Meaning, a customer could not submit two individual licenses and decide to combine them later. The reverse is also true. A customer would not be able to decide to un-combine applications after they were submitted.

7. The combined license drawing would not consider preference points in determining successful applicants. However, if an applicant draws their 1st choice license, they would lose all accumulated preference points for the species drawn.

8. The combined drawing would not allocate landowner licenses or special licenses.

9. Resident elk, deer and antelope and nonresident deer and antelope draws are conducted in June after the commission has approved the license quotas for the current hunting seasons. To implement a combined drawing that includes the species of elk for nonresidents would require the nonresident elk draw currently conducted in February to be moved to the June time period.

| | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| NON-ADMINISTRATIVE IMPACT | | | |
| Anticipated Expenditure increase: | | | |
| GAME & FISH FUND | \$12,393 | | |

Source of expenditure increase:

A one-time programming cost to modify the electronic license system will be required to establish new fee types, set up a new draw, modify all screens, establish rollover steps from the combined draw to the regular draws, and change the drawing odds and success reports.

Assumptions:

All activities will be conducted by Game and Fish programming personnel within the timeframe required.

It is estimated that system modifications will require the following number of hours and activities;

~40 hours to set up the new fee types

~80 hours to create a new draw

~80 hours to change all screens to allow for online applications of combined applications

~20 hours to set up rollover steps from the combined draw to the regular draws

~24 hours to make changes to drawing odds and success reports

Total ~ 244 hours

~\$50.79 per hour

The expenditure increase reflected above could be considered an administrative cost. However, for simplicity and to follow consistent practice on legislation of this type, it is included on the fiscal note.

Prepared by: Jennifer Lockwood, LSO Phone: 777-7881
 (Information provided by Meredith Wood, Game and Fish Department, 307-777-4618)